

*The Muchnik degrees of Π_1^0 and Σ_1^1
classes*

Kojiro Higuchi

Mathematical Institute, Tohoku University

February 18, 2012 at Tokyo Institute of Technology

Aim

- \mathcal{P}_w : the Muchnik degrees of nonempty Π_1^0 subsets of Cantor space 2^ω .
- A long standing simple question:
 $a < b \implies (a, b) \cap \mathcal{P}_w \neq \emptyset$?
- Let \mathcal{S}_w be the Muchnik degrees of Σ_1^1 subsets of Baire space ω^ω .
- One of the main theorems of this talk says: $\forall a, b \in \mathcal{P}_w$,
 $[(a, b) \cap \mathcal{S}_w \neq \emptyset \iff (a, b) \cap \mathcal{P}_w \neq \emptyset]$.

Medvedev and Muchnik Degrees

- Medvedev/Muchnik reducibilities \leq_s, \leq_w are natural extensions of Turing reducibility \leq_T for subsets of ω^ω .
- **def(Medvedev, 1955).**
 $P \leq_s Q \iff \exists \text{rec } \Phi \forall f, \Phi(f) \in P.$
- **def(Muchnik, 1963).**
 $P \leq_w Q \iff \forall f \exists \text{rec } \Phi, \Phi(f) \in P.$
- For each $r \in \{s, w\}$, \leq_r is a pre-order.
 Thus $P \equiv_r Q \iff P \leq_r Q \leq_r P$ is an equiv. relation.
 We define $\mathcal{D}_r = \text{Pow}(\omega^\omega) / \equiv_r$,
 $\mathcal{P}_r = \{\text{nonempty } \Pi_1^0 \text{ subsets of } 2^\omega\} / \equiv_r$
 and $\mathcal{S}_r = \{\Sigma_1^1 \text{ subsets of } 2^\omega\} / \equiv_r.$

Π_1^0 Subsets of 2^ω

- Important examples of Π_1^0 subsets of 2^ω are the sets of all (codes of) completions of some recursive theories of first-order logic.
 - ZFC, PA, RCA, ACA, Z_2, \dots .
- $\mathcal{P}_s, \mathcal{P}_w$ have been well studied since around 2000. However, the Turing degrees of elements of Π_1^0 subsets of 2^ω was investigated before. E.g. Jockusch/Soare (1972) proved each nonempty Π_1^0 contains a low element f , i.e., $f' \leq_T \emptyset'$.
- Π_1^0 is the first level of the arithmetical hierarchies such that the degree structure is non-trivial.
- I do not know any study on \mathcal{S}_s or \mathcal{S}_w .
- (Kleene) The set of all non-hyperarithmetic reals is an example of Σ_1^1 subsets of ω^ω .

Known Results

- **Cenzer/Hinman, 2003:** \mathcal{P}_s is dense.
- **Binns, 2003:**
 In \mathcal{P}_s , $a < b \implies \exists c, d, a < c, d < b = \sup(c, d)$.
 In \mathcal{P}_w , $0 < b \implies \exists c, d, 0 < c, d < b = \sup(c, d)$.
- **Cole/Kihara, 2010:** The Σ_2^0 -theory of \mathcal{P}_s as an order structure is decidable.
 Here note “ $\forall a, b[a < b \implies \exists c, a < c < b]$ ” is Π_2^0 .
- **Shafer, 2012:** The Σ_4^0 -theory of \mathcal{P}_s and \mathcal{P}_w as order structures is undecidable.
- Is \mathcal{P}_w dense?

Lattice \mathcal{D}_w

Recall $\mathcal{D}_w = \text{Pow}(\omega^\omega) / \equiv_w$.

\mathcal{D}_w is a distributive lattice with the top and the bot:

- For $P, Q \subset \omega^\omega$,
 $P \times Q = \{f \oplus g : f \in P, g \in Q\}$ induces the sup,
 where $f \oplus g(2n) = f(n)$ and $f \oplus g(2n+1) = g(n)$.
- $P \cup Q$ induces the inf.
- The deg of P is bottom
 $\iff P$ contains a computable element.
- The deg of Q is top
 $\iff Q = \emptyset$.

Later, sometimes the condition “ $Q <_w \emptyset$ ” will be appeared. This is equivalent to say “ Q is nonempty”.

Open Intervals in \mathcal{D}_w

- It is not hard to see the following: $\forall P, Q \subset \omega^\omega$
in \mathcal{D}_w , $(\deg_w(P), \deg_w(Q))$ is empty
 $\iff (\exists f \in P)[\{f\} \equiv_w P, \{g :>_T f\} \equiv_w Q]$.
- As a corollary, \mathcal{S}_w is not dense.

Lattice \mathcal{P}_w

Let \mathcal{P}_w be the set of all weak degrees of nonempty Π_1^0 subsets of 2^ω .

\mathcal{P}_w is a distributive lattice with the top and the bot:

- $P \times Q$ and $P \cup Q$ induce the sup and the inf, resp.
- The deg of P is bottom
 $\iff P$ contains a computable element.
- The deg of PA is top,
 where PA denotes the set of all (codes of) consistent complete extensions of Peano Arithmetic.
- There are some interesting intermediate degrees in \mathcal{P}_w .
 E.g., $\text{deg}_w(\text{MLR}) \in \mathcal{P}_w$,
 where MLR is the set of all Martin-Löf random reals.
 (In fact, MLR is a Σ_2^0 subset of 2^ω , though.)

The Embedding Lemma

- **The Embedding Lem(Simpson).**

$$\forall \Sigma_3^0 S' \subset \omega^\omega \forall \Pi_1^0 Q \subset 2^\omega : Q <_w \emptyset$$

$$\exists \Pi_1^0 R \subset 2^\omega, R \equiv_w S' \cup Q.$$

- The Embedding Lemma has many consequences, and it seems to be useful to prove or disprove the density of \mathcal{P}_w .

Some Consequences of The Embedding Lem.

- Thm.** $\deg_w(\text{DNR})$, $\deg_w(\text{AED} \cup \text{PA})$,
 $\deg_w(\text{MLR}^{\emptyset'} \cup \text{PA})$ are in $\mathcal{P}_w \setminus \{\deg_w(2^\omega), \deg_w(\text{PA})\}$,
 $\text{DNR} = \{f \in \omega^\omega : \forall e, f(e) \neq \{e\}(e)\}$,
 AED is the set of all almost everywhere dominating reals,
 $\text{MLR}^{\emptyset'}$ is the set of all reals Martin-Löf random relative
 to \emptyset' , i.e, the set of 2-random reals.
- Thm(Simpson).** The function $\phi : \mathcal{R}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_w$ s.t.
 $\phi(\deg_T(A)) = \deg_w(\{A\} \cup \text{PA})$ is an embedding
 preserving the sup, (therefore \leq ,) the top and the bot.

Π_1^0 and Σ_1^1

- **Main Lem.**

$\forall a \in \mathcal{S}_w, b \in \mathcal{P}_w$

$a < b \implies [a, b) \cap \mathcal{P}_w \neq \emptyset.$

In other words,

$\forall \Sigma_1^1 S \subset \omega^\omega \forall \Pi_1^0 Q \subset 2^\omega : S <_w Q <_w \emptyset$

$\exists \Pi_1^0 R \subset 2^\omega, S \leq_w R <_w Q.$

Cole/Simpson's Lemma

- **Lem(Cole/Simpson).** $\forall \Pi_1^0 P, Q \subset \omega^\omega : \{0^\omega\} \not\leq_w Q$
 $\exists \Pi_1^0 H(P, Q) \simeq P \forall g \in H(P, Q), \{g\} \not\leq_w Q.$
- **Lem'(Cole/Simpson).**
 $\forall f \in 2^\omega \forall \Pi_1^{0,f} P, Q \subset \omega^\omega : \{f\} \not\leq_w Q$
 $\exists \Pi_1^{0,f} H(f, P, Q) \simeq P \forall g \in H(f, P, Q), \{f \oplus g\} \not\leq_w Q.$

Here, note that $P \neq \emptyset \iff H(f, P, Q) \neq \emptyset.$

A Key Lemma

- **Lem'(Cole/Simpson).**

$\forall f \in 2^\omega \forall \Pi_1^{0,f} P, Q \subset \omega^\omega : \{f\} \not\leq_w Q$

$\exists \Pi_1^{0,f} H(f, P, Q) \simeq P \forall g \in H(f, P, Q), \{f \oplus g\} \not\leq_w Q.$

- **Lem.** $\forall \Sigma_1^1 S, \Pi_1^0 Q \subset \omega^\omega : S <_w Q$

$\exists \Pi_1^0 R \subset \omega^\omega, S \leq_w R \not\leq_w Q.$

\therefore Define $\Sigma_1^1 S' = S \cap \{f : \{f\} \not\leq_w Q\}$. (Note $S' \neq \emptyset$.)

Choose $\Pi_1^0 P$ s.t. $\forall f [f \in S' \iff \exists g, f \oplus g \in P]$.

Let $\Pi_1^{0,f} P^f = \{g : f \oplus g \in P\}$. (Note $f \in S' \Rightarrow P^f \neq \emptyset$.)

Define $\Pi_1^0 R = \{f \oplus g : f \in S', g \in H(f, P^f, Q)\}$.

Then $R \neq \emptyset$ and $\forall f \oplus g \in R, S \leq_w \{f \oplus g\} \not\leq_w Q.$

Thus $S \leq_w R \not\leq_w Q.$



Main Lemma

- **Lem.** $\forall \Sigma_1^1 S, \Pi_1^0 Q \subset \omega^\omega : S <_w Q$
 $\exists \Pi_1^0 R \subset \omega^\omega, S \leq_w R \not\leq_w Q.$
- **The Embedding Lem(Simpson).**
 $\forall \Sigma_3^0 S' \subset \omega^\omega \forall \Pi_1^0 Q \subset 2^\omega : Q <_w \emptyset$
 $\exists \Pi_1^0 R \subset 2^\omega, R \equiv_w S' \cup Q.$
- **Main Lem.** $\forall \Sigma_1^1 S \subset \omega^\omega \forall \Pi_1^0 Q \subset 2^\omega : S <_w Q <_w \emptyset$
 $\exists \Pi_1^0 R \subset 2^\omega, S \leq_w R <_w Q.$
 \therefore Lem gives $\Pi_1^0 S' \subset \omega^\omega$ s.t. $S \leq_w S' \not\leq_w Q.$
 Thus $S \leq_w S' \cup Q <_w Q.$
 The Embedding Lem gives the desired $R.$



If \mathcal{P}_w is Not Dense

- **Main Lem.** $\forall \Sigma_1^1 S \subset \omega^\omega \forall \Pi_1^0 Q \subset 2^\omega : S <_w Q <_w \emptyset$
 $\exists \Pi_1^0 R \subset 2^\omega, S \leq_w R <_w Q.$
- **Thm.** TFAE:
 1. $\exists \Pi_1^0 P, Q \subset 2^\omega : P <_w Q <_w \emptyset$
 $\neg \exists \Pi_1^0 R \subset 2^\omega, P <_w R <_w Q.$
 2. $\exists \Sigma_1^1 S \subset \omega^\omega \exists \Pi_1^0 Q \subset 2^\omega : S <_w Q <_w \emptyset$
 $\neg \exists \Pi_1^0 R \subset 2^\omega, S <_w R <_w Q.$



If \mathcal{P}_w is Dense

- **Main Lem.** $\forall \Sigma_1^1 S \subset \omega^\omega \forall \Pi_1^0 Q \subset 2^\omega : S <_w Q <_w \emptyset$
 $\exists \Pi_1^0 R \subset 2^\omega, S \leq_w R <_w Q.$
- **Thm.** $\forall \Pi_1^0 P, Q \subset 2^\omega : P <_w Q <_w \emptyset$, TFAE:
 1. $\exists \Pi_1^0 R \subset 2^\omega, P <_w R <_w Q.$
 2. $\exists \Sigma_1^1 S \subset \omega^\omega, P <_w S <_w Q.$



Hyperarithmetical Witness

Let $P, Q \subset 2^\omega$ be nonempty Π_1^0 sets with $P <_w Q$.

- **Thm.** TFAE:

1. $\exists \Pi_1^0 R \subset 2^\omega, P <_w R <_w Q$.

2. $\exists \Sigma_1^1 S \subset \omega^\omega, P <_w S <_w Q$.

- **Cor.** If $\exists \Delta_1^1 f \in P, \{f\} \not<_w Q$, then 2 holds.

\therefore We can prove

$$\forall f : \{f\} \not<_w Q \exists \Delta_2^{0,f} g, \{f\} <_w \{g\} \not<_w Q.$$

Thus if f is Δ_1^1 , so is g . It is known that $\{g\}$ is Σ_1^1 . □

- **Cor.** If $\#(P \cap \{f : \{f\} \not<_w Q\}) \leq \aleph_0$, then 1 holds.

\therefore Every countable Σ_1^1 set has only Δ_1^1 elements. □

No Hyperarithmetical Witness

- **Main Lem.** $\forall \Sigma_1^1 S \subset \omega^\omega \forall \Pi_1^0 Q \subset 2^\omega : S <_w Q <_w \emptyset$
 $\exists \Pi_1^0 R \subset 2^\omega, S \leq_w R <_w Q.$
- **Thm(Jockusch/Soare).** $\mu(\{g \in 2^\omega : \{g\} \not\leq_w \text{PA}\}) = 1$
- **Thm'(Jockusch/Soare).**
 If $\{f\} \not\leq_w \text{PA}$, then $\mu(\{g \in 2^\omega : \{f \oplus g\} \not\leq_w \text{PA}\}) = 1$
- **Thm.** $\forall \Pi_1^0 P \subset 2^\omega : P <_w \text{PA} \exists \Pi_1^0 R \subset 2^\omega,$
 $P \leq_w R <_w \text{PA}$ and $\neg \exists \Delta_1^1 h \in R \cap \{f : \{f\} \not\leq_w \text{PA}\}.$
 \therefore "g is $\Delta_1^{1,f}$ " is Π_1^1 in f and $g.$
 Define $\Sigma_1^1 S = \{f \oplus g : f \in P, g \text{ is not } \Delta_1^{1,f}\}.$
 Choose $f \in P$ s.t. $\{f\} \not\leq_w \text{PA}$. Note $\mu(2^\omega \cap \Delta_1^{1,f}) = 0.$
 $\exists g: \text{non } \Delta_1^{1,f}$ with $\{f \oplus g\} \not\leq_w \text{PA}.$
 Thus $P \leq_w S \cup \text{PA} <_w \text{PA}.$
 Main Lem gives the desired $R.$



Thank you!