

The Generic Group Model and Algorithmic Randomness

Kohtaro Tadaki

Doi Norihisa

*Research and Development Initiative, Chuo University
Tokyo, Japan*

Abstract

In modern cryptography, the generic group model (Shoup, 1997) is widely used as an imaginary framework in which the security of a cryptographic scheme is discussed.

In particular, the generic group model is often used to discuss the computational hardness of problems, such as the discrete logarithm problem and the Diffie-Hellman problem, which are used as a computational hardness assumption to prove the security of a cryptographic scheme.

In this talk, we apply the concepts and methods of algorithmic randomness to the generic group model, and consider the secure instantiation of the generic group, i.e., a random encoding of the group elements.

In particular, we show that the generic group can be instantiated by a specific computable function while keeping the computational hardness of the problems originally proved in the generic group model.

Discrete Logarithm Problem

Experiment for the Discrete Logarithm Problem

Let G be a finite cyclic group in a certain class.

Consider the following experiment defined for a probabilistic polynomial-time algorithm \mathcal{A} and a parameter n :

The discrete logarithm experiment $\text{DLog}_{\mathcal{A}}(n)$:

1. *Generate (G, N, g) , where G is a finite cyclic group of order N represented by n bit strings and g is a generator of G .*
2. *Generate $h \in G$ uniformly.*
3. *\mathcal{A} is given q, g, h and outputs $x \in \mathbb{Z}_q$*
4. *The output of the experiment is defined to be 1 if $g^x = h$ and 0 otherwise.*

The Hardness of the Discrete Logarithm Problem

Definition

We say that the discrete logarithm problem is hard (with respect to a certain class of finite cyclic groups) if for all probabilistic polynomial-time algorithms \mathcal{A} and all $d \in \mathbb{N}^+$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that, for all $n > N$,

$$\text{Prob}[\text{DLog}_{\mathcal{A}}(n) = 1] \leq \frac{1}{n^d}.$$

The Generic Group Model

Generic Algorithm

Encoding Function into n Bitstrings

Definition [Encoding Function into n Bitstrings]

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. An encoding function into n bitstrings is a bijective function mapping $\{0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1\}$ to $\{0, 1\}^n$. \square

Let $N \leq 2^n$.

- For every pair of finite cyclic group G of order N and its generator, there is an encoding function σ into n bitstrings such that G is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_N via σ .
- Conversely, for every encoding function σ into n bitstrings, by defining the binary operation $\sigma(x) \circ \sigma(y) := \sigma(x + y)$ on $\sigma(\mathbb{Z}_N)$, the set $\sigma(\mathbb{Z}_N)$ becomes a finite cyclic group of order N with generator $\sigma(1)$ and the set $\sigma(\mathbb{Z}_N)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_N via σ .

In this manner, there is a bijective correspondence between a pair of a finite cyclic group G of order N and its generator, and an encoding function σ into n bitstrings.

By choosing σ appropriately, any finite cyclic group G (with its generator) can be obtained.

Generic Algorithm

Definition [Generic Algorithm, Shoup 97]

A generic algorithm is a probabilistic oracle Turing machine \mathcal{A} which behaves as follows:

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, and let σ be an encoding function into n bitstrings and N a positive integer with $N \leq 2^n$.

- (i) \mathcal{A} takes as input a list $\sigma(x_1), \dots, \sigma(x_k)$ with $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, as well as (the binary representations of) N and its prime factorization.
- (ii) As \mathcal{A} is executed, it is allowed to make calls to oracles which compute the functions $add: \sigma(\mathbb{Z}_N) \times \sigma(\mathbb{Z}_N) \rightarrow \sigma(\mathbb{Z}_N)$ and $inv: \sigma(\mathbb{Z}_N) \rightarrow \sigma(\mathbb{Z}_N)$ with

$$add(\sigma(x), \sigma(y)) = \sigma(x + y) \quad \text{and} \quad inv(\sigma(x)) = \sigma(-x).$$

The algorithm \mathcal{A} do not perform these operations internally by itself.

- (iii) Eventually, \mathcal{A} halts and outputs a finite binary string, denoted by

$$\mathcal{A}(N; \sigma(x_1), \dots, \sigma(x_k)).$$



The Discrete Logarithm Problem in the Generic Group Model

Experiment for the Discrete Logarithm Problem A

Consider the following experiment defined for a polynomial-time generic algorithm \mathcal{A} , a parameter n , and a positive integer $N \leq 2^n$:

The discrete logarithm experiment $\text{DLog}_{\mathcal{A}}(n, N)$:

1. Generate an encoding function σ into n bitstrings uniformly.
2. Generate $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ uniformly.
3. The output of the experiment is defined to be 1 if

$$\mathcal{A}(N; \sigma(1), \sigma(x)) = x$$

$\sigma(1)$ is a generator of the finite cyclic group $\sigma(\mathbb{Z}_N)$ of order N , and x is the discrete logarithm of $\sigma(x)$ to the base $\sigma(1)$.

and 0 otherwise.

The Hardness of the Discrete Logarithm Problem A

Theorem [Shoup 97]

There exists $C \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that, for every generic algorithm \mathcal{A} , $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, and N with $N \leq 2^n$,

$$\text{Prob}[\text{DLog}_{\mathcal{A}}(n, N) = 1] \leq \frac{Cm^2}{p},$$

where p is the largest prime divisor of N and m is the maximum number of the oracle queries among all the computation paths of \mathcal{A} . \square

If we insist that \mathcal{A} succeed with probability bounded by a positive constant (e.g., $1/2$) to the below, this theorem translates into **a lower bound $\Omega(\sqrt{p})$ of the number of group operations queried by \mathcal{A} .**

**Translating Shoup's result into the form
well used as a computational assumption**

Experiment for the Discrete Logarithm Problem B

Consider the following experiment for a polynomial-time generic algorithm \mathcal{A} , a parameter n , and an encoding function σ into n bitstrings:

The discrete logarithm experiment $\text{DLog}_{\mathcal{A}}(n, \sigma)$:

1. *Generate an n -bit prime p uniformly.*
2. *Generate $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ uniformly.*
3. *The output of the experiment is defined to be 1 if*

$$\mathcal{A}(p; \sigma(1), \sigma(x)) = x$$

and 0 otherwise.

The Hardness of the Discrete Logarithm Problem B

The hardness of the discrete logarithm problem **in the generic group model** is then formulated as follows.

Definition We say that the discrete logarithm problem is hard in the generic group model if for all polynomial-time generic algorithms \mathcal{A} and all $d \in \mathbb{N}^+$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that, for all $n > N$,

$$\frac{1}{\#\text{Encf}_n} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Encf}_n} \text{Prob}[\text{DLog}_{\mathcal{A}}(n, \sigma) = 1] \leq \frac{1}{n^d},$$

where Encf_n is the set of all encoding functions into n bitstrings. □

Note that the probability is averaged over all encoding functions into n bitstrings. This results in a **random** encoding function into n bitstrings, i.e., **the generic group**.

Theorem The discrete logarithm problem is hard in the generic group model. □

Our aim is the secure instantiation of the generic group.

For that purpose, we translate Shoup's result into a **stronger computational hardness.**

The Effective Hardness of the Discrete Logarithm Problem

In this talk we consider a stronger notion of the hardness of the discrete logarithm problem. This stronger notion, called the **effective** hardness of the discrete logarithm problem, is defined as follows:

We first choose a particular recursive enumeration $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3, \dots$ of all polynomial-time generic algorithms. It is easy to show that such an enumeration exists.

The effective hardness of the discrete logarithm problem **in the generic group model** is then formulated as follows.

Definition We say that the discrete logarithm problem is effectively hard in the generic group model if there exists a computable function $f: \mathbb{N}^+ \times \mathbb{N}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^+$ such that, for all $i, d, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, if $n \geq f(i, d)$ then

$$\frac{1}{\#\text{Encf}_n} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Encf}_n} \text{Prob}[\text{DLog}_{\mathcal{A}_i}(n, \sigma) = 1] \leq \frac{1}{n^d}.$$

□

Effective Hardness ?

In the definitions of the (conventional) hardness of the discrete logarithm problem, the number N is only required to exist, depending on an adversary \mathcal{A} and a number d , that is, the success probability of the attack by an adversary \mathcal{A} on a security parameter n is required to be less than $1/n^d$ for all sufficiently large n , where the lower bound of such n is not required to be computable from \mathcal{A} and d .

On the other hand, in the definitions of the effective hardness of the discrete logarithm problem, it is required that the lower bound N of such n can be computed from the code of \mathcal{A} and d .

Definition [posted again]

We say that the discrete logarithm problem is hard in the generic group model if for all polynomial-time generic algorithms \mathcal{A} and all $d \in \mathbb{N}^+$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that, for all $n > N$,

$$\frac{1}{\#\text{Encf}_n} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Encf}_n} \text{Prob}[\text{DLog}_{\mathcal{A}}(n, \sigma) = 1] \leq \frac{1}{n^d}.$$

□

Effective Hardness ?

In modern cryptography based on computational security, it is important to choose the security parameter n of a cryptographic scheme as small as possible to the extent that the security requirements are satisfied, in order to make the efficiency of the scheme as high as possible.

For that purpose, it is desirable to be able to calculate a concrete value of N , given the code of \mathcal{A} and d , since N gives a lower bound of the security parameter for which the security requirements specified by \mathcal{A} and d are satisfied. This results in the notion of **effective hardness**.

Definition [posted again]

We say that the discrete logarithm problem is hard in the generic group model if for all polynomial-time generic algorithms \mathcal{A} and all $d \in \mathbb{N}^+$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that, for all $n > N$,

$$\frac{1}{\#\text{Encf}_n} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Encf}_n} \text{Prob}[\text{DLog}_{\mathcal{A}}(n, \sigma) = 1] \leq \frac{1}{n^d}.$$



The Effective Hardness of the Discrete Logarithm Problem

Definition [posted again]

We say that *the discrete logarithm problem is effectively hard in the generic group model* if there exists a computable function $f: \mathbb{N}^+ \times \mathbb{N}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^+$ such that, for all $i, d, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, if $n \geq f(i, d)$ then

$$\frac{1}{\#\text{Encf}_n} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Encf}_n} \text{Prob}[\text{DLog}_{\mathcal{A}_i}(n, \sigma) = 1] \leq \frac{1}{n^d}.$$

□

Shoup's result can be translated into the following stronger form:

Theorem The discrete logarithm problem is *effectively* hard in the generic group model.

□

Applying algorithmic randomness, we securely instantiate the generic group by a computable function.

Algorithmic Randomness

Application of Algorithmic Randomness

Secure Instantiation by computable Function

The hardness of the discrete logarithm problem **relative to a specific family of encoding functions** is defined as follows.

Definition Let $\{\sigma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^+}$ be a family of encoding functions. We say that the discrete logarithm problem is hard relative to $\{\sigma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^+}$ if for all polynomial-time generic algorithms \mathcal{A} and all $d \in \mathbb{N}^+$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that, for all $n > N$,

$$\text{Prob}[\text{DLog}_{\mathcal{A}}(n, \sigma_n) = 1] \leq \frac{1}{n^d}.$$

□

Theorem [Main Result] There exists a computable family of encoding functions relative to which the discrete logarithm problem is effectively hard. □

Furure Direction

It would be challenging to prove the following conjecture (or its appropriate modification) with identifying an appropriate computational assumption COMP which is weaker than the hardness of the discrete logarithm problem itself. Here the notion of effective hardness is replaced by the notion of **polynomial-time effective** hardness.

Conjecture

Under the assumption COMP, there exists a **polynomial-time computable** family of encoding functions (or a **polynomial-time computable** family of families of encoding functions) relative to which the discrete logarithm problem is **polynomial-time effectively** hard. □

The conjecture states that the discrete logarithm problem is hard in the standard model **for some polynomial-time computable finite cyclic group.**