Abstract Forcing Complex

xity Non-Existe

e Existence

e Main Theo

Theorem Sι

nmary Refe

Appendix

# Resource-bounded Forcing Theorem and Randomness

Toshio Suzuki<sup>1\*</sup> and Masahiro Kumabe<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Tokyo Metropolitan University, toshio-suzuki•tmu.ac.jp

<sup>2</sup>The Open University of Japan, kumabe•ouj.ac.jp (•=atmark)

CTFM 2014, Tokyo Institute of Technology

17 Feb. 2014

\*This work was partially supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI (C) 22540146 and (B) 23340020

References

Appendix

## Abstract

- Forcing complexity (of a given formula)
  - = Min. size of forcing conditions (its domain) which force it.
  - $\neq$  Time-bound of extension strategy.

• Resource-bounded forcing theorem holds almost everywhere. [Dowd, 1992] For almost all inifinite binary sequnece X: Every tautology with respect to  $X \upharpoonright \{0,1\}^{\leq n}$  is forced by a sub-function S of X such that |domS| is polynomial in n. (The poly. depends on  $\sharp$  of occurrences of query symbols.)

#### Resource-bounded randomness implies r.-b. forcing theorem.

Main Theorem:  $\exists$  An elementary recursive function t(n) s.t. [X is t(n)-random  $\Rightarrow$  Resource-bounded forcing thm. holds for X].



#### Abstract

- Forcing Complexity
- Non-Existence
- Existence
- Main Theorem
- Summary
- References
- Appendix

## Forcing complexity

## = The minimum size of a forcing condition

Forcing complexity is the minimum size of a forcing condition that forces a given propositional formula. The origin of forcing complexity is in Dowd's study on NP=? coNP question.

M. Dowd: Generic oracles, uniform machines, and codes. *Inf. Comput.*, **96**, pp. 65–76 (1992).

## Forcing complexity $\neq$ Time-bound of extension strategy

Ambos-Spies et al. introduced the concept of resource-bounded random sets by extending the works of Schnorr and Lutz. They show that resource-bounded randomness implies resource-bounded genericity. While the genericity of Ambos-Spies is based on time-bound of finite-extension strategy, the genericity of Dowd, the main topic of this talk, is based on an analogy of forcing theorem.

K. Ambos-Spies and E. Mayordomo: Resource-bounded measure and randomness. *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, **187**, pp. 1–47,1997.

To be more precise:

Def. Resource-bounded randomness (Ambos-Spies et al.)

t(n)-random  $\simeq$  random for O(t(n))-time computable martingales.

#### Time-bound of finite-extension strategy.

[Ambos-Spies and Mayordomo 1997], [Ambos-Spies, Terwijn, and Zheng 1997]:

t(n)-random  $\Rightarrow t(n)$ -stochastic  $\Rightarrow t(n)$ -generic.

On the other hand, the detail of forcing complexity is as follows.

Def. of Dowd-generic sets (sketch)

"A certain property<sup>\*</sup> of an exponential-sized portion of an oracle X is forced by a polynomial-sized portion of X."

"A certain property" is described with *the relativized propositional calculus* (RPC).

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{RPC} = & ( \text{ propositional caluculus } ) \\ &+ \{\xi^1(-), \xi^2(-,-), \xi^3(-,-,-), \cdots \} \end{split}$$

For each *n*, the *n*-ary connective  $\xi^n$  (a *query symbol*) is interpreted to the initial segment of a given oracle up to  $2^n$ th string.

#### Example of a formula of RPC

 $(q_0 \Leftrightarrow \xi^3(q_1, q_2, q_3)) \Rightarrow [q_0 \lor (q_1 \land q_4)]$ 

Given a formula F of RPC and an oracle X, truth of F is determined by "a truth assignment + a finite portion of X".

Interpretation:

 $\xi^n(i$ th of  $\{0,1\}^n)$  is interpreted as to be X(ith of  $\{0,1\}^*)$ , where "*i*th" is that of length-lexicographic order.

 $\xi^n(i$ th of  $\{0,1\}^n)$  is interepreted as to be X(ith of  $\{0,1\}^*)$ . Examples (n = 2 and n = 3)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \xi^2(0,0) & \xi^2(0,1) & \xi^2(1,0) & \xi^2(1,1) \\ X(\text{empty string}) & X(0) & X(1) & X(00) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \xi^{3}(0,0,0) & \xi^{3}(0,0,1) & \xi^{3}(0,1,0) & \xi^{3}(0,1,1) \\ X(\text{empty string}) & X(0) & X(1) & X(00) \\ \\ \xi^{3}(1,0,0) & \xi^{3}(1,0,1) & \xi^{3}(1,1,0) & \xi^{3}(1,1,1) \\ X(01) & X(10) & X(11) & X(000) \end{array}$$

Thus,  $\xi^2(q_2, q_1)$  and  $\xi^3(0, q_2, q_1)$  are interpreted as to be the same.

#### Def. Force

A finite portion  $\sigma$  (a finite sub-function) of an oracle X is called a *forcing condition*.

 $\sigma$  forces F if for any Y extending  $\sigma$ , F is a tautology w. r. t. Y.

Example of force

Let F be: 
$$(q_0 \Leftrightarrow \xi^3(q_1, q_2, q_3)) \Rightarrow \neg q_0$$

*F* is a tautology w. r. t. the characteristic func. of the empty set. If  $\sigma$  forces *F* then the size of  $\sigma$  (its domain)  $\geq 2^3$ . (And, the first  $2^3$  bits of  $\sigma$  should be 0.)

ences Apper

## §2 Non-Existence

The case of unbounded occurrences of query symbols

## Definition. t-generic sets [Dowd 1992]

X is t-generic if every tautology F with respect to X is forced by a forcing condition of polynomial-size in |F|.

Thm. Non-existence of t-generic sets [Dowd, 1992], [S. 2001]

There are no t-generic sets.

M. Dowd: Generic oracles, uniform machines, and codes. *Inf. Comput.*, **96**, pp. 65–76 (1992).

S.: Forcing complexity: minimum sizes of forcing conditions. *Notre Dame J. Formal Logic*, **42**, pp. 117–120 (2001).

## §3 Existence

Resource-bounded forcing theorem holds almost everywhere.

It is widely known that 1-randomness and 1-genericity are incompatible.

Interestingly, Dowd found that the following holds for a randomly chosen  $X:\omega \to \{0,1\}.$ 

"A property of an exponential-sized portion of X is forced by a polynomial-sized portion of X".

M. Dowd: Inf. Comput. (1992).

- S.: Notre Dame J. Formal Logic (2001).
- S.: Inf. Comput. (2002).

The case of **bounded** occurrences of query symbols: Here, *r*-query denotes "the  $\ddagger$  of occurrences of query symbols is *r*."

## Def. Dowd-generic sets [Dowd, 1992]

- Let r be a positive integer. X is r-Dowd
  if every r-query tautology F w. r. t. X
  is forced by a forcing condition of polynomial-size in |F|.
- X is Dowd-generic

if X is r-Dowd for every positive integer r. (Polynomial bound depends on each r, unlike t-genericity)



#### Thm. Existence of Dowd-generic sets

- [Dowd, 1992], [S.2001], [S.2002] The class of all Dowd-generic sets has Lebesgue measure 1.
- [S. and Kumabe, 2009] Schnorr random  $\Rightarrow$  Dowd-generic.

S.: Degrees of Dowd-type generic oracles. *Inf. Comput.*, **176**, pp.66–87 (2002).

S. and M. Kumabe: Weak randomness, genericity and Boolean decision trees.

Proc. 10th Asian Logic Conference, pp.322–344, 2009.

rences Appe

## $\S3$ Existence

[Dowd 1992] asserts "Any 1-Dowd set is not c.e." (false)

#### Thm. Degrees of Dowd-generic sets

- [S. 2002] There exists a primitive recursive 1-Dowd set. And, every Turing degree contains a 1-Dowd set.
- [Kumabe and S. 2012] The same holds for "Dowd-generic" in place of "1-Dowd".

M. Kumabe and S.: Computable Dowd-generic oracles. *Proc. 11th Asian Logic Conference*, pp.128–146, 2012.

Main Theorem

Summary

y References

Appendix

## §4 Main Theorem

#### Main Theorem

There exists an elementary recursive function t(n) s.t. t(n)-random  $\Rightarrow$  Dowd-generic.

Gives an alt. proof:  $\exists$  a primitive recursive Dowd-generic set.

M. Kumabe and S.: Resource-bounded martingales and computable Dowd-type generic sets. submitted to a journal (2010).

The key to our proof is a construction of a martingale that succeeds on every "non-Dowd" set. A basic idea is as follows.

Suppose a forcing condition S is given and we want to define the value d(S) of the martingale. Assume that a polynomial p is given at the node S. In the two basic open sets given by S0 (S concatenated by 0) and S1, we investigate the following conditional probabilities.



Figure 1: Martingale

We randomly chose an oracle T. Then we investigate a prob. of T having the following property (\*), under the condition that T extends S0 (or S1, respectively). Here, f(n) >> n.

## (\*)

Somewhere between n + 1and f(n), T fails the test for "the forcing theorem at stage i with respect to r and p".



#### Figure 2:

We denote these conditional probabilities by  $\rho(S0)$  and  $\rho(S1)$ .

We define the martingale values d(S0) and d(S1) in proportion to  $\varrho(S0)$  and  $\varrho(S1)$ . In other words, we shall define them so that the following equation holds.

The ratio of the martingale value to rho

$$\frac{d(S0)}{\varrho(S0)} = \frac{d(S1)}{\varrho(S1)}$$

(日) (同) (三) (三)

19/27

Then, in many nodes, the ratio of d to  $\rho$  shall be the same as that of the parent node. For example, the following holds.

$$\frac{d(S0)}{\varrho(S0)} = \frac{d(S)}{\varrho(S)}$$

By means of this property, we show that d succeeds on every "non-Dowd" oracle. In other words, for every "non-Dowd" oracle X, it holds that lim sup of  $d(X \upharpoonright n)$  is infinite.

Appendix

## Summary

## $\S 2$ Results on Non-Existence (Thm. [Dowd 1992], [S. 2001])

No t-generic sets (No poly.-bound on forcing complexity when unbounded occurrences of query symbols).

## $\S3$ Results on Existence (Def.)

(1) *r*-Dowd
↔ poly.-bound on forcing comp. for *r*-query tautologies (It satisfies the resource-bounded forcing theorem).
(2) Dowd-generic ↔ ∀*r* ≥ 1 *r*-Dowd

#### $\S4$ Main Theorem

There exists an elementary recursive function t(n) s.t. t(n)-random  $\Rightarrow$  Dowd-generic.

#### References

- K. Ambos-Spies and E. Mayordomo: Resource-bounded measure and randomness. In: Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 187, 1–47 Dekker, 1997.
- K. Ambos-Spies, S. A. Terwijn and X. Zheng: Resource bounded randomness and weakly complete problems. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 172 (1997) 195–207.
- M. Dowd: Generic oracles, uniform machines, and codes. *Inf. Comput.*, **96**, pp. 65–76 (1992).
- M. Kumabe and T. Suzuki: Computable Dowd-generic oracles. *Proc. 11th Asian Logic Conference*, pp.128–146, World Scientific, 2012.
- M. Kumabe and T. Suzuki: Resource-bounded martingales and computable Dowd-type generic sets, submitted to a journal (2010).

- M. Kumabe, T. Suzuki and T. Yamazaki: Does truth-table of linear norm reduce the one-query tautologies to a random oracle? *Arch. Math. Logic*, **47**, pp.159–180 (2008).
- T. Suzuki: Recognizing tautology by a deterministic algorithm whose while-loop's execution time is bounded by forcing. *Kobe Journal of Mathematics*, **15**, pp. 91–102 (1998).
- T. Suzuki: Computational complexity of Boolean formulas with query symbols. Doctoral dissertation, Institute of Math., Univ. of Tsukuba, Japan (1999).
- T. Suzuki: Complexity of the r-query tantologies in the presense of a generic oracle. *Notre Dame J. Formal Logic*, **41**, pp. 142–151 (2000).
- T. Suzuki: Forcing complexity: minimum sizes of forcing conditions.
   Nature Dames I. Formal Leavis 12, no. 117, 120 (2001)

Notre Dame J. Formal Logic, 42, pp. 117–120 (2001).

Appendix

- T. Suzuki: Degrees of Dowd-type generic oracles. Inf. Comput., 176, pp.66–87 (2002).
- T. Suzuki: Bounded truth table does not reduce the one-query tautologies to a random oracle. Arch. Math. Logic, 44, pp.751–762 (2005).
- T. Suzuki and M. Kumabe: Weak randomness, genericity and Boolean decision trees. Proc. 10th Asian Logic Conference, pp.322-344, World Scientific, 2009.

Toshio Suzuki URI: http://researchmap.jp/read0021048/?lang=english

## Appendix: Jump and a Problem

Let  $1 \text{TAUT}^X$  denote the set of all 1-query tautologies w. r. t. X.

Question: Does  $1 \text{TAUT}^X$  has a degree strictly higher than X?

Given a reduction concept  $\leq_r$  (e.g., poly.-time Turing  $\leq_T^P$ ), we introduce the following statement, and we call it "One-query jump hypothesis w. r. t.  $\leq_r$ " (1QJH(r), for short).

Def. One-query Jump Hypothesis w. r. t.  $\leq_r$  [S. 2002] "The class  $\{X : X <_r 1 \text{TAUT}^X\}$  has Lebesgue measure 1 in the Cantor space".

## Jump and a Problem

Thm. [S. 1998]

1QJH(poly.-time Turing)  $\Leftrightarrow$  RP  $\neq$  NP.

Here, RP is the one-sided version of BPP.

Thm. [S. 2002]  $1QJH(poly.-time truth table) \Rightarrow P \neq NP.$ 

S.: Recognizing tautology by a deterministic algorithm whose while-loop's execution time is bounded by forcing. *Kobe Journal of Mathematics*, **15**, pp. 91–102 (1998).

## Jump and a Problem

## Examples of 1QJH [Kumabe, S. and Yamazaki 2008]

- (1) 1QJH(monotone reductions) holds.(tt-reductions s.t. truth tables are monotone Boolean formulas.)
- (2)  $c < 1 \Rightarrow 1$ QJH(tt-reductions s.t. norm  $\leq c \times |F|$ ) holds. (*F* is an input formula and  $|F| = \sharp$  of occurrences of symbols.)

#### Problem

In (2), can we relax the assumption of "c < 1"?

M. Kumabe, S. and T. Yamazaki: Does truth-table of linear norm reduce the one-query tautologies to a random oracle? *Arch. Math. Logic*, **47**, pp.159–180 (2008).