

On the “finitary” infinite Ramsey’s theorem

Florian Pelupecsy

Tohoku University

CTFM, Tokyo, 8 September 2015

Overview:

- ① Motivation
- ② “finitary” Ramsey
- ③ Inserting the parameter in “finitary” Ramsey
- ④ Some logical strengths for different values

Motivation

Motivation

From the study of first order concrete mathematical incompleteness there is the phenomenon of the *phase transition*:

Given some $T \not\vdash \varphi$, examine the parametrised version φ_f .

Classify parameter values f according to the provability of φ_f .

Results in this programme follow certain heuristics.

Motivation

From the study of first order concrete mathematical incompleteness there is the phenomenon of the *phase transition*:

Given some $T \not\vdash \varphi$, examine the parametrised version φ_f .

Classify parameter values f according to the provability of φ_f .

Results in this programme follow certain heuristics.

Question: Do we have something similar in Reverse Mathematics?

Remarks

Instead of unprovability we will examine *equivalences*.

Remarks

Instead of unprovability we will examine *equivalences*.

Theorems examined in reverse mathematics have no obvious parametrisation.

The “finitary” infinite Ramsey’s theorem

“finitary” Ramsey

The “finitary” pigeonhole principle was introduced by Tao, examined by Gaspar and Kohlenbach.

We examine the generalisation to Ramsey’s theorem.

“finitary” Ramsey

Definition (AS)

$F: \{(\text{codes of}) \text{ finite sets}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is asymptotically stable if for every sequence X_1, X_2, \dots of finite sets there is i such that $F(X_i) = F(X_j)$ for all $j > i$.

“finitary” Ramsey

Definition (AS)

$F: \{(\text{codes of}) \text{ finite sets}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is asymptotically stable if for every sequence X_1, X_2, \dots of finite sets there is i such that $F(X_i) = F(X_j)$ for all $j > i$.

Definition

- 1 $[X]^d =$ set of d -element subsets of X
- 2 $[a, b]^d = [\{a, \dots, b\}]^d$
- 3 For $C: [X]^d \rightarrow [0, c]$ a set $H \subseteq X$ is C -homogeneous if C restricted to $[H]^d$ is constant.

“finitary” Ramsey

Definition (RT_d^k)

For every $C: \mathbb{N}^d \rightarrow [0, k]$ there exists infinite C -homogeneous $H \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.

“finitary” Ramsey

Definition (RT_d^k)

For every $C: \mathbb{N}^d \rightarrow [0, k]$ there exists infinite C -homogeneous $H \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.

Definition (FRT_d^k)

For every $F \in \text{AS}$ there exists R such that for all

$$C: [0, R]^d \rightarrow [0, k]$$

there exists C -homogeneous H of size $> F(H)$.

“finitary” Ramsey

Definition (RT_d^k)

For every $C: \mathbb{N}^d \rightarrow [0, k]$ there exists infinite C -homogeneous $H \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.

Definition (FRT_d^k)

For every $F \in \text{AS}$ there exists R such that for all

$$C: [0, R]^d \rightarrow [0, k]$$

there exists C -homogeneous H of size $> F(H)$.

Theorem

$\text{WKL}_0 \vdash \text{FRT}_d^k \leftrightarrow \text{RT}_d^k$.

Inserting the parameter

Inserting the parameter:

We can use subsets of AS as parameter values:

Definition ($\text{FRT}_d^k(G)$)

For every $F \in G$ there exists R such that for all

$$C: [0, R]^d \rightarrow [0, k]$$

there exists C -homogeneous H of size $> F(H)$.

Some values:

Definition (CF)

Constant functions

Some values:

Definition (CF)

Constant functions

Definition (UI)

$$\{F \in AS : \exists m \forall X. F(X) \leq \max\{\min X, m\}\}$$

Some values:

Definition (CF)

Constant functions

Definition (UI)

$$\{F \in \text{AS} : \exists m \forall X. F(X) \leq \max\{\min X, m\}\}$$

Definition (MD)

$$\{F \in \text{AS} : \min X = \min Y \rightarrow F(X) = F(Y)\}$$

Some logical strengths for different values

Strengths for values

FRT(CF) is the finite Ramsey's theorem, which is known to be provable in RCA_0 .

Strengths for values

FRT(CF) is the finite Ramsey's theorem, which is known to be provable in RCA_0 .

FRT(UI) is equivalent to the Paris–Harrington principle, which is known to be equivalent to $1\text{-CON}(\text{I}\Sigma_d)$ when the dimension is fixed to $d + 1$.

Strengths for values

Definition

- 1 $\text{WO}(\alpha)$ is the statement “ α is well-founded”.
- 2 $\omega_0 = 1$ and $\omega_{n+1} = \omega^{\omega_n}$.

Strengths for values

Definition

- 1 $\text{WO}(\alpha)$ is the statement “ α is well-founded”.
- 2 $\omega_0 = 1$ and $\omega_{n+1} = \omega^{\omega_n}$.

Theorem

$$\text{RCA}_0 \vdash \text{FRT}_d(\text{MD}) \leftrightarrow \text{WO}(\omega_d)$$

Summary

RCA_0 proves the following:

$$\text{FRT} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{RT}$$

$$\text{FRT}_d^k \quad \leftarrow \quad \text{RT}_d^k \text{ for } (d > 2)$$

$$\text{FRT}_d^k \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{RT}_d^k$$

$$\text{FRT}(\text{MD}) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{AR} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{WO}(\varepsilon_0)$$

$$\text{FRT}_{d+1}(\text{MD}) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{AR}_d \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{WO}(\omega_{d+1})$$

$$\text{FRT}(\text{UI}) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{1-consistency of PA}$$

$$\text{FRT}_{d+1}(\text{UI}) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{1-consistency of } \text{I}\Sigma_d$$

$$\text{FRT}(\text{CF})$$

Furthermore, $\text{WKL}_0 \vdash \text{RT}_d^k \rightarrow \text{FRT}_d^k$.



Thank you for listening.



ペルペッシー フロリヤン
florian.pelupessy@operamail.com
pelupessy.github.io