Geometry and Effective Dimension

Stephen Binns Qatar University CTFM

September 6, 2015

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Definition

Effective Hausdorff dimension:

$$\dim_H(X) = \liminf_n \frac{C(X \upharpoonright n)}{n}$$

Effective packing dimension:

$$\dim_p(X) = \limsup_n \frac{C(X \upharpoonright n)}{n}$$

If $\dim_H X = \dim_p X$ we refer to X as regular and write $\dim X$. A and B are mutually regular if both are regular and

$$\lim_{n} \frac{C(A \upharpoonright n, B \upharpoonright n)}{n}$$
 exists.

Definition

Effective Hausdorff dimension:

$$\dim_H(X) = \liminf_n \frac{C(X \upharpoonright n)}{n}$$

Effective packing dimension:

$$\dim_p(X) = \limsup_n \frac{C(X \upharpoonright n)}{n}$$

If $\dim_H X = \dim_p X$ we refer to X as <u>regular</u> and write dimX. A and B are <u>mutually regular</u> if both are regular and

$$\lim_{n} \frac{C(A \upharpoonright n, B \upharpoonright n)}{n}$$
 exists.

Definition

Effective Hausdorff dimension:

$$\dim_H(X) = \liminf_n \frac{C(X \upharpoonright n)}{n}$$

Effective packing dimension:

$$\dim_p(X) = \limsup_n \frac{C(X \upharpoonright n)}{n}$$

If $\dim_H X = \dim_p X$ we refer to X as regular and write $\dim X$. A and B are mutually regular if both are regular and

$$\lim_{n} \frac{C(A \upharpoonright n, B \upharpoonright n)}{n}$$
 exists.

Definition

Effective Hausdorff dimension:

$$\dim_H(X) = \liminf_n \frac{C(X \upharpoonright n)}{n}$$

Effective packing dimension:

$$\dim_p(X) = \limsup_n \frac{C(X \upharpoonright n)}{n}$$

If $\dim_H X = \dim_p X$ we refer to X as <u>regular</u> and write dimX. A and B are <u>mutually regular</u> if both are regular and

$$\lim_{n} \frac{C(A \upharpoonright n, B \upharpoonright n)}{n}$$
 exists.

Definition (The *d*-metric)

$$d(X \to Y) := \limsup_{n} \frac{C(Y \upharpoonright n \mid X \upharpoonright n)}{n}$$

 $d(X, Y) := \max\{d(X \to Y), d(Y \to X)\}.$

$$X \sim_d Y := d(X, Y) = 0.$$

d is a metric on the d-equivalence classes.

Definition (The *d*-metric)

$$d(X \to Y) := \limsup_{n} \frac{C(Y \upharpoonright n \mid X \upharpoonright n)}{n}$$

$$d(X, Y) := \max\{d(X \to Y), d(Y \to X)\}.$$

$$X \sim_d Y := d(X, Y) = 0.$$

d is a metric on the d-equivalence classe

Definition (The *d*-metric)

$$d(X \to Y) := \limsup_{n} \frac{C(Y \upharpoonright n \mid X \upharpoonright n)}{n}$$

$$d(X, Y) := \max\{d(X \to Y), d(Y \to X)\}.$$

$$X \sim_d Y := d(X, Y) = 0.$$

Theorem

d is a metric on the d-equivalence classes.

Definition (The *d*-metric)

$$d(X \to Y) := \limsup_{n} \frac{C(Y \upharpoonright n \mid X \upharpoonright n)}{n}$$

$$d(X, Y) := \max\{d(X \to Y), d(Y \to X)\}.$$

$$X \sim_d Y := d(X, Y) = 0.$$

Theorem

d is a metric on the d-equivalence classes.

- d induces a path-connected topology on the set of regular reals.
- The set of regular reals is topologically complete under *d*.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

- The set of regular reals contains no compact neighbourhoods under *d*.
- If dim X = dim Y, then $d(X \rightarrow Y) = d(Y \rightarrow X)$.

- d induces a path-connected topology on the set of regular reals.
- The set of regular reals is topologically complete under d.

- The set of regular reals contains no compact neighbourhoods under *d*.
- If dim X = dim Y, then $d(X \rightarrow Y) = d(Y \rightarrow X)$.

- d induces a path-connected topology on the set of regular reals.
- The set of regular reals is topologically complete under d.

- The set of regular reals contains no compact neighbourhoods under *d*.
- If dim X = dim Y, then $d(X \rightarrow Y) = d(Y \rightarrow X)$.

- d induces a path-connected topology on the set of regular reals.
- The set of regular reals is topologically complete under d.

- The set of regular reals contains no compact neighbourhoods under *d*.
- If dim X = dim Y, then $d(X \rightarrow Y) = d(Y \rightarrow X)$.

- d induces a path-connected topology on the set of regular reals.
- The set of regular reals is topologically complete under d.

- The set of regular reals contains no compact neighbourhoods under *d*.
- If dim X = dim Y, then $d(X \rightarrow Y) = d(Y \rightarrow X)$.

Dilutions

Definition

Let
$$\alpha \in [0, 1]$$
 and $X = x_0 x_1 x_2 ...$

$$\alpha X := x_0 x_1 \dots x_{i_1} 000 \dots 0 x_{i_1+1} x_{i_1+2} \dots x_{i_2} 000 \dots 0 x_{i_2+1} \dots$$

Where $|x_{i_{j+1}} \dots x_{i_{j+1}} 000 \dots 0| = j + 1$, and

$$|\mathbf{x}_{i_{j+1}}\ldots\mathbf{x}_{i_{j+1}}|=\lfloor\alpha(j+1)\rfloor.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

 αX is the α -dilution of X.

1 $0X = 0000 \cdots \sim_d$ any real of dimension 0,

- 2 If X is regular, then αX is regular,
- 3 dim $(\alpha X) = \alpha \dim(X)$

- $d(\alpha X \to \beta X) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha \ge \beta, \\ (\beta \alpha) \dim X & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

The map α → αX is a continuous map from [0, 1] into 2^N under the *d*-topology.

- **1** $0X = 0000 \cdots \sim_d$ any real of dimension 0,
- 2 If X is regular, then αX is regular,
- $Im(\alpha X) = \alpha \dim(X),$

- $d(\alpha X \to \beta X) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha \ge \beta, \\ (\beta \alpha) \dim X & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

The map α → αX is a continuous map from [0, 1] into 2^N under the *d*-topology.

- **1** $0X = 0000 \cdots \sim_d$ any real of dimension 0,
- 2 If X is regular, then αX is regular,

3 dim
$$(\alpha X) = \alpha \dim(X)$$
,

The map α → αX is a continuous map from [0, 1] into 2^N under the *d*-topology.

- **1** $0X = 0000 \cdots \sim_d$ any real of dimension 0,
- 2 If X is regular, then αX is regular,
- $Iim(\alpha X) = \alpha \dim(X),$

The map α → αX is a continuous map from [0, 1] into 2^N under the *d*-topology.

- $0X = 0000 \cdots \sim_d$ any real of dimension 0,
- 2 If X is regular, then αX is regular,

3 dim
$$(\alpha X) = \alpha \dim(X)$$
,

The map α → αX is a continuous map from [0, 1] into 2^N under the *d*-topology.

- $0X = 0000 \cdots \sim_d$ any real of dimension 0,
- 2 If X is regular, then αX is regular,

3 dim
$$(\alpha X) = \alpha \dim(X)$$
,

$$\ \ \, \bullet (\beta X) \simeq_{d} (\alpha \beta) X,$$

The map α → αX is a continuous map from [0, 1] into 2^N under the *d*-topology.

- $0X = 0000 \cdots \sim_d$ any real of dimension 0,
- 2 If X is regular, then αX is regular,

3 dim
$$(\alpha X) = \alpha \dim(X)$$
,

$$d(\alpha X \to \alpha Y) = \alpha d(X \to Y),$$

•
$$d(\alpha X \to \beta X) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha \ge \beta, \\ (\beta - \alpha) \dim X & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

7 The map $\alpha \mapsto \alpha X$ is a continuous map from [0, 1] into $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ under the *d*-topology.

Compression

Theorem (Binns, Nicholson)

For every regular X of dimension α there is a unique (up to d-equivalence) Y of dimension 1, such that $X \simeq_d \alpha Y$.

Proof.

We let $X = \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3 \dots$ where $|\tau_i| = i$. Then we define

- $\gamma_1 = \tau_1$
- $\gamma_{i+1} = (\tau_{i+1} \mid \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_i)^*,$

where the notation $(\tau_{i+1} | \tau_1, \tau_2, ..., \tau_i)^*$ denotes a program of minimal length that outputs τ_{i+1} given $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, ..., \tau_i$ as input. Now we let $Y = \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 ...$

Compression

Theorem (Binns, Nicholson)

For every regular X of dimension α there is a unique (up to d-equivalence) Y of dimension 1, such that $X \simeq_d \alpha Y$.

Proof.

We let $X = \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3 \dots$ where $|\tau_i| = i$. Then we define

•
$$\gamma_1 = \tau_1$$

•
$$\gamma_{i+1} = (\tau_{i+1} \mid \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_i)^*,$$

where the notation $(\tau_{i+1} | \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_i)^*$ denotes a program of minimal length that outputs τ_{i+1} given $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \dots, \tau_i$ as input. Now we let $Y = \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \dots$

Proof (very basic sketch).

•
$$|X_i| = \mathcal{O}(i^2)$$

- $|Y_i| = C(X_i) \pm \mathcal{O}(i \log i).$
- $d(\alpha Y \to X) = 0$
- o dim Y = 1
- As dim(αY) = α = dim(X), this implies d(X → αY) = 0 and αY ~_d X.

Proof (very basic sketch).

•
$$|X_i| = \mathcal{O}(i^2)$$

•
$$|Y_i| = C(X_i) \pm \mathcal{O}(i \log i).$$

- $d(\alpha Y \rightarrow X) = 0$
- dim Y = 1
- As dim(αY) = α = dim(X), this implies d(X → αY) = 0 and αY ~_d X.

Proof (very basic sketch).

- $|X_i| = \mathcal{O}(i^2)$
- $|Y_i| = C(X_i) \pm \mathcal{O}(i \log i).$
- $d(\alpha Y \rightarrow X) = 0$
- o dim Y = 1
- As dim(αY) = α = dim(X), this implies d(X → αY) = 0 and αY ~d X.

Proof (very basic sketch).

- $|X_i| = \mathcal{O}(i^2)$
- $|Y_i| = C(X_i) \pm \mathcal{O}(i \log i).$
- $d(\alpha Y \rightarrow X) = 0$
- dim *Y* = 1
- As dim(αY) = α = dim(X), this implies d(X → αY) = 0 and αY ~d X.

Proof (very basic sketch).

- $|X_i| = \mathcal{O}(i^2)$
- $|Y_i| = C(X_i) \pm \mathcal{O}(i \log i).$
- $d(\alpha Y \rightarrow X) = 0$
- o dim Y = 1
- As dim(αY) = α = dim(X), this implies d(X → αY) = 0 and αY ~_d X.

Proof. $C(\gamma_{i+1} | Y_i) + C(Y_i | X_i) \ge C(\tau_{i+1} | X_i) - o(i^2)$

Proof.

$C(\gamma_{i+1} | Y_i) + C(Y_i | X_i) \ge C(\tau_{i+1} | X_i) - o(i^2)$ = $|\gamma_{i+1}| - o(i^2).$

But $C(Y_i | X_i) = C(Y_i, X_i) - C(X_i) \pm o(i^2)$ = $C(Y_i) - C(X_i) \pm o(i^2)$.

Proof.

$$C(\gamma_{i+1} | Y_i) + C(Y_i | X_i) \ge C(\tau_{i+1} | X_i) - o(i^2) \\ = |\gamma_{i+1}| - o(i^2).$$

But $C(Y_i | X_i) = C(Y_i, X_i) - C(X_i) \pm o(i^2)$ = $C(Y_i) - C(X_i) \pm o(i^2)$.

Proof.

$$C(\gamma_{i+1} | Y_i) + C(Y_i | X_i) \ge C(\tau_{i+1} | X_i) - o(i^2) \\ = |\gamma_{i+1}| - o(i^2).$$

But
$$C(Y_i | X_i) = C(Y_i, X_i) - C(X_i) \pm o(i^2)$$

 $= C(Y_i) - C(X_i) \pm o(i^2).$

Proof.

$$C(\gamma_{i+1} | Y_i) + C(Y_i | X_i) \ge C(\tau_{i+1} | X_i) - o(i^2) = |\gamma_{i+1}| - o(i^2).$$

But
$$C(Y_i | X_i) = C(Y_i, X_i) - C(X_i) \pm o(i^2)$$

= $C(Y_i) - C(X_i) \pm o(i^2)$.

Proof.

$$C(\gamma_{i+1} | Y_i) + C(Y_i | X_i) \ge C(\tau_{i+1} | X_i) - o(i^2) = |\gamma_{i+1}| - o(i^2).$$

But
$$C(Y_i | X_i) = C(Y_i, X_i) - C(X_i) \pm o(i^2)$$

= $C(Y_i) - C(X_i) \pm o(i^2)$.

$$\therefore \quad C(\gamma_{i+1} \mid Y_i) + C(Y_i) - C(X_i) \geq |\gamma_{i+1}| \pm o(i^2) \\ \Rightarrow \quad C(Y_{i+1}) \geq |\gamma_{i+1}| + C(X_i) \pm o(i^2) \\ = |Y_{i+1}| \pm o(i^2)$$

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のへの

Proof.

$$C(\gamma_{i+1} | Y_i) + C(Y_i | X_i) \ge C(\tau_{i+1} | X_i) - o(i^2)$$

= $|\gamma_{i+1}| - o(i^2)$.

But
$$C(Y_i | X_i) = C(Y_i, X_i) - C(X_i) \pm o(i^2)$$

= $C(Y_i) - C(X_i) \pm o(i^2)$.

$$\therefore \quad C(\gamma_{i+1} \mid Y_i) + C(Y_i) - C(X_i) \geq |\gamma_{i+1}| \pm o(i^2) \\ \Rightarrow \qquad C(Y_{i+1}) \geq |\gamma_{i+1}| + C(X_i) \pm o(i^2) \\ = |Y_{i+1}| \pm o(i^2)$$

<□> <@> < E> < E> E のQC

Randomness and Complexity

Theorem

Given any regular real X of dimension $\alpha > 0$, there is a 1-random real R such that $X \sim_d \alpha R$.

Lemma (Kučera, Gác & Merkle, Mihailović)

There is a partial computable functional Φ on $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ with the properties:

- For every $Y \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ there is a Martin-Löf random R such that $\Phi^R = Y$.
- 2 There is a computable function g such that g(n) bounds the use of R in calculating Y ↾ n.
- 3 lim sup $\frac{g(n)}{n} \leq 1$.

Randomness and Complexity

Theorem

Given any regular real X of dimension $\alpha > 0$, there is a 1-random real R such that $X \sim_d \alpha R$.

Lemma (Kučera, Gác & Merkle, Mihailović)

There is a partial computable functional Φ on $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ with the properties:

- For every $Y \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ there is a Martin-Löf random R such that $\Phi^{R} = Y$.
- 2 There is a computable function g such that g(n) bounds the use of R in calculating Y ↾ n.

3 lim sup
$$\frac{g(n)}{n} \leq 1$$
.

Proof.

Given *X* of dimension α , construct *Y* of dimension 1 such that $X \sim_d \alpha Y$. Now take *R* as in Lemma for *Y*. To describe $Y \upharpoonright n$ given $R \upharpoonright n$ we need only an extra g(n) - n bits. Thus

$$d(R \to Y) = \limsup_{n} \frac{C(Y \upharpoonright n \mid R \upharpoonright n)}{n}$$

$$\leq \limsup_{n} \frac{g(n) - n + \mathcal{O}(1)}{n}$$

$$\leq \limsup_{n} \frac{g(n)}{n} - 1$$

$$\leq 0$$

As dim $R = 1 = \dim Y$, we have also that $d(Y \rightarrow R) = 0$ and $Y \sim_d R$. Finally $\alpha R \sim_d \alpha Y \sim_d X$ as required.

Proof.

Given *X* of dimension α , construct *Y* of dimension 1 such that $X \sim_d \alpha Y$. Now take *R* as in Lemma for *Y*. To describe $Y \upharpoonright n$ given $R \upharpoonright n$ we need only an extra g(n) - n bits. Thus

$$d(R \to Y) = \limsup_{n} \frac{C(Y \upharpoonright n \mid R \upharpoonright n)}{n}$$

$$\leq \limsup_{n} \frac{g(n) - n + \mathcal{O}(1)}{n}$$

$$\leq \limsup_{n} \frac{g(n)}{n} - 1$$

$$\leq 0$$

As dim $R = 1 = \dim Y$, we have also that $d(Y \to R) = 0$ and $Y \sim_d R$. Finally $\alpha R \sim_d \alpha Y \sim_d X$ as required.

Proof.

Given *X* of dimension α , construct *Y* of dimension 1 such that $X \sim_d \alpha Y$. Now take *R* as in Lemma for *Y*. To describe $Y \upharpoonright n$ given $R \upharpoonright n$ we need only an extra g(n) - n bits. Thus

$$d(R \to Y) = \limsup_{n} \frac{C(Y \upharpoonright n \mid R \upharpoonright n)}{n}$$

$$\leq \limsup_{n} \frac{g(n) - n + \mathcal{O}(1)}{n}$$

$$\leq \limsup_{n} \frac{g(n)}{n} - 1$$

$$\leq 0$$

As dim $R = 1 = \dim Y$, we have also that $d(Y \rightarrow R) = 0$ and $Y \sim_d R$. Finally $\alpha R \sim_d \alpha Y \sim_d X$ as required.

Proof.

Given *X* of dimension α , construct *Y* of dimension 1 such that $X \sim_d \alpha Y$. Now take *R* as in Lemma for *Y*. To describe $Y \upharpoonright n$ given $R \upharpoonright n$ we need only an extra g(n) - n bits. Thus

$$d(R \to Y) = \limsup_{n} \frac{C(Y \upharpoonright n \mid R \upharpoonright n)}{n}$$

$$\leq \limsup_{n} \frac{g(n) - n + \mathcal{O}(1)}{n}$$

$$\leq \limsup_{n} \frac{g(n)}{n} - 1$$

$$\leq 0$$

As dim $R = 1 = \dim Y$, we have also that $d(Y \rightarrow R) = 0$ and $Y \sim_d R$. Finally $\alpha R \sim_d \alpha Y \sim_d X$ as required.

Convex combinations

We can pad a real X with bits from another real Y: Let $r \in [0, 1]$ and $X = x_0 x_1 x_2 \dots$, $Y = y_0 y_1 y_2 \dots$ Define

$$r[X, Y] = x_0 x_1 \dots x_{i_1} y_0 y_1 \dots y_{j_1} x_{i_1+1} x_{i_1+2} \dots x_{i_2} y_{j_1+1} \dots y_{j_2} x_{i_2+1} \dots$$

Where
$$|x_{i_{k+1}} \dots x_{i_{k+1}} y_{i_{k+1}} \dots y_{i_{k+1}}| = k + 1$$
, and
 $i_{k+1} = \lfloor r(k+1) \rfloor$.

Definition

The convex hull of X and Y is

$$\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{Y}) = \{\boldsymbol{r}[\alpha\boldsymbol{X},\alpha\boldsymbol{Y}] : \boldsymbol{r},\alpha \in [0,1]\}$$

closed under *d*-equivalence.

The convex hull of mutually random reals *R* and *S*



The distance function



Angles



Projections: $\operatorname{Proj}_X Y := \sup\{\alpha : d(Y \to \alpha X) = 0\}$

$$\tau = \operatorname{Proj}_{B} A \qquad \sigma = \operatorname{Proj}_{A} B.$$



Other hulls: $A, B \in \mathcal{H}(R, S)$.

$$B = \frac{1}{2}[R, S], \qquad A = \frac{1}{10}[R, S].$$



Question

What kind of geometry can $\mathcal{H}(A, B)$ exhibit?

The previous examples have planar hulls. They can be isometrically embedded in $\mathcal{H}(R, S)$. Are all hulls planar? No.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Other hulls: Arbitrary mutually regular A and B

Definition

Let *A* and *B* be mutually regular reals of dimension 1. Then *A* and *B* form a coherent pair if

$$\angle AB = rac{(1-\sigma)(1-\tau)}{1-\sigma\tau},$$

where $\sigma = \operatorname{Proj}_{A}B$ and $\tau = \operatorname{Proj}_{B}A$.

Theorem

 $\mathcal{H}(A, B)$ is planar if an only if A and B are coherent.

Theorem

Not all mutually regular reals are coherent.

Other hulls: Arbitrary mutually regular A and B

Definition

Let *A* and *B* be mutually regular reals of dimensions *a* and *b* respectively. Then *A* and *B* form a coherent pair if

$$\angle AB = \frac{(b-a\sigma)(a-b\tau)}{ab(1-\sigma\tau)},$$

where $\sigma = \operatorname{Proj}_{B} B$ and $\tau = \operatorname{Proj}_{B} A$.

Theorem

 $\mathcal{H}(A, B)$ is planar if an only if A and B are coherent.

Theorem

Not all mutually regular reals are coherent.

Not all A B are coherent

Proof.

Let $R = r_1 r_2 r_3 r_4 \dots r_n \dots$ be a random real. Let

$$A = r_0 r_2 r_4 \dots r_{2n} \dots$$

$$B = r_0 r_3 r_6 \dots r_{3n} \dots$$

Both A and B are random and so are dimension 1. But

- $\angle AB = d(B \rightarrow A) = 2/3$
- $\operatorname{Proj}_{B}A = 0$
- $\operatorname{Proj}_A B = 0$
- $2/3 \neq \frac{(1-0)(1-0)}{1-0} = 1.$

• Given a coherent pair A and B, does there exist a pair of mutually random reals R, S such that

 $\mathcal{H}(A,B) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(R,S)$?

- Given a mutually regular pair *A*, *B* is there a curve of length d(A, B) connecting *A* and *B*?
- Given a mutually regular pair A and B, does there exist a (unique?) C of minimal dimension such that d(B, C → A) = 0? Where

$$d(B, C \to A) := \limsup_{n} \frac{C(A \upharpoonright n \mid B \upharpoonright n \oplus C \upharpoonright n)}{n}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

• Given a coherent pair *A* and *B*, does there exist a pair of mutually random reals *R*, *S* such that

 $\mathcal{H}(A, B) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(R, S)$?

- Given a mutually regular pair *A*, *B* is there a curve of length d(A, B) connecting *A* and *B*?
- Given a mutually regular pair A and B, does there exist a (unique?) C of minimal dimension such that d(B, C → A) = 0? Where

$$d(B, C \to A) := \limsup_{n} \frac{C(A \upharpoonright n \mid B \upharpoonright n \oplus C \upharpoonright n)}{n}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

• Given a coherent pair A and B, does there exist a pair of mutually random reals R, S such that

 $\mathcal{H}(A,B) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(R,S)$?

- Given a mutually regular pair A, B is there a curve of length d(A, B) connecting A and B?
- Given a mutually regular pair A and B, does there exist a (unique?) C of minimal dimension such that d(B, C → A) = 0? Where

$$d(B, C \to A) := \limsup_{n} \frac{C(A \upharpoonright n \mid B \upharpoonright n \oplus C \upharpoonright n)}{n}.$$

• Given a coherent pair A and B, does there exist a pair of mutually random reals R, S such that

 $\mathcal{H}(A,B) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(R,S)$?

- Given a mutually regular pair A, B is there a curve of length d(A, B) connecting A and B?
- Given a mutually regular pair A and B, does there exist a (unique?) C of minimal dimension such that d(B, C → A) = 0? Where

$$d(B, C \to A) := \limsup_{n} \frac{C(A \upharpoonright n \mid B \upharpoonright n \oplus C \upharpoonright n)}{n}.$$

Arigatou gozaimasu



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Bibliography

Stephen Binns.

Relative Kolmogorov Complexity and Geometry. Journal of Symbolic Logic, 76(4), 2011.

Stephen Binns.

Completeness, compactness, effective dimension. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 59(3):206–218, May 2013.

Stephen Binns and Marie Nicholson. Compressibility and Kolmogorov complexity. <u>Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic</u>, 54(1):105–123, 2013.

 H.D. Ebbinghaus, G.H. Müller, and G.E. Sacks, editors. <u>Recursion Theory Week</u>. Number 1141 in Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1985. IX + 418 pages.

Bibliography cont.



Every sequence is reducible to a random one. Information and Control, 70:186–192, 1986.

Antonín Kučera. Measure, Π_1^0 classes and complete extensions of PA. In [4], pages 245–259, 1985.

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

Wolfgang Merkle, Nenad Mihailović. On the construction of effectively random sets. Journal of Symbolic Logic, Vol. 69, Issue 3, pages 862–878, 2004.